EXHIBIT 1

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                         ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
 3
    UNITED STATES, et al., : Civil Action No.: 1:23-cv-108
 4
                 Plaintiffs, :
 5
                                 Friday, March 10, 2023
         versus
 6
    GOOGLE LLC,
 7
                 Defendant.
       -----x
 8
            The above-entitled motions hearing was heard before
 9
     the Honorable Leonie M. Brinkema, United States District
     Judge. This proceeding commenced at 10:10 a.m.
10
                       APPEARANCES:
11
    FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
                          GERARD MENE, ESQUIRE
                          OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
12
                          2100 Jamieson Avenue
13
                          Alexandria, Virginia 22314
                          (703) 299-3700
14
                          AARON TEITELBAUM, ESQUIRE
15
                          JULIA WOOD, ESQUIRE
                          UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
16
                          ANTITRUST DIVISION
                          450 Fifth Street, NW
17
                          Washington, D.C. 20530
                           (202) 894-4266
18
                          ANDREW FERGUSON, ESQUIRE
19
                          OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
                          OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL
20
                          202 North Ninth Street
                          Richmond, Virginia 23219
2.1
                          (804) 786-7704
2.2
    FOR THE DEFENDANT: ERIC MAHR, ESQUIRE
                          TYLER GARRETT, ESQUIRE
2.3
                          FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER, LLP
                          700 13th Street, NW
2.4
                          10th Floor
                          Washington, D.C. 20005
25
                          (202) 777-4500
```

```
1
     third-party witnesses. The Texas investigation, for
 2
     example, had 60 third parties; we have had zero.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. Well, that's something
 4
     you'll have to work out with Judge Anderson. All right.
 5
               MR. MAHR: Well, I will kind of reinforce
 6
    Ms. Wood's request. We have been discussing, in the event
 7
     you denied the motion, a proposal to the Court, and we can
    make it to Magistrate Judge Anderson if you'd like, that we
 8
 9
    propose for moving forward, and we would like the
10
     opportunity to do that.
11
               THE COURT: I'll punt that issue to him. I'll
12
     give him a call today, too, and let him know it's coming.
13
    All right.
14
               MR. MAHR: Thank you.
15
               THE COURT: But be mindful of how we operate here.
16
     All right. Again, this is a problem that, frankly, the
17
     Government's going to have because you chose to be here, and
18
     I'm not joking when I say you need to have your running
19
     shoes on. All right.
20
               MS. WOOD: Understood, Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: All right. You know, everything in
22
     life is finite. We have a finite lifespan, we have all
23
     sorts of limits, and I think the same thing applies to
2.4
     litigation. You might like to take 50 depositions but,
25
     guess what, you aren't going to get 50. You might like to
                                                               26
```

```
1
    have, you know, a year and a half to complete discovery, but
 2
     you're not going to get that in this court. It forces
 3
     lawyers to have to focus with laser efficiency on what are
 4
     the core issues you need to get to resolve a case.
 5
               So having said that, the last matter I want to
 6
     just very briefly address is there is this pending motion to
 7
     seal. I just want to put, again, both sides on notice about
 8
            I would not normally have granted that motion to seal
     this.
 9
     if it came to me fresh, because I don't think just the names
10
     alone of people, unless they work for the CIA or something
11
     like that, is something that's appropriate to be sealed.
12
     But Judge Castel did grant that. And, again, this is an
13
     example of where if it's a close call, I'm going to go with
14
     what he's already done to reduce this problem with
15
     inefficiencies and with inconsistent rulings. I may not
16
     always be able to agree with him. I would be surprised if
17
     I'm unable to because he's a colleague, and he's an
18
     experienced colleague. Nevertheless, I am going to grant
19
     that motion.
20
               But I want both sides to understand that I'm one
21
     of the judges in this court that looks very seriously at my
22
     obligation to make sure that court proceedings are
23
     transparent. What you do in the exchange of discovery
2.4
    before you come to court, if you want to mark things as
25
     confidential, although I wish it wasn't done as much as it
                                                               27
```

```
1
               THE COURT: All right. Very good. You're all
 2
     free to go.
 3
               MS. WOOD: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
                 (Proceedings adjourned at 10:44 a.m.)
 5
 6
     I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
     transcription of my stenographic notes.
 7
                                      rephanie Austir
 8
 9
                               Stephanie M. Austin, RPR, CRR
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                                29
```